IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH APRIL 2007 / 21ST CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 11661 of 2007(L)

PETITIONER:

S.RAJEEV,MALAYIL HOUSE,AMALAGIRI,
KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.O.D.SIVADAS

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,KANNUR REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.

2. THE SECRETARY,REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,KANNUR.

3. THE STATE TRANSPORT APPELLANTE TRIBUNAL, ERNAKULAM.

4. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,THE KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT COPORATION,TRNAPORT BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY SRI.JOHNSON P.JOHN, SC. K.S.R.T.C.
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.N.SUDHADEVI.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 11/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX:

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1-TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.G1/6545/2002 DATED 6-5-2002 OF THE RTA, KOTTAYAM.

EXT.P2- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.C746268/2000/e DATED 27-10-2000 OF THE RTA, ERNAKULAM.

EXT.P3- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER IN MVARP.NO.366/1998 DATED 4-7-1998 ISSUED BY THE STAT.

EXT.P4- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.G2/40930/2000M DATED 8-1-2001 OF THE RTA, MALAPPURAM.

EXT.P5- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.G1/23775/2000D DATED 29-12-2000 OF THE RTA, KOZHIKODE.

EXT.P6-TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.C124424/06C DATED 23-1-2007 OF THE RTA KANNUR.

EXT.P7. TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 27-10-2004 IN WA NO.1903 OF 2004 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT.

EXT.P8-TRUE COPY OF the REQUEST DATED 23-1-2007 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RTA, KANNUR.

EXT.P9- TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL MEMORANDUM FILED BY THE PETITIOENR BEFORE THE STAT ON 23-2-2007 UMBERED AS MVAA NO.110/2007.

EXT.P10- TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 26-3-2007 IN MVAA 110/2007 PASSED BY THE STAT.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C).No.11661 of 2007-L

Dated this the 11th day of April, 2007

JUDGMENT

The case of the petitioner herein is identical to the case of the petitioner in W.P.(C) 11612 of 2007. So, both sides submitted that the directions issued in the above-said case can be issued in this case also. Accordingly, the R.T.A. is directed to re-consider the matter afresh, in accordance with law, uninfluenced by Exts.P6 and P10, after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner and the K.S.R.T.C. It is made clear that I have not expressed anything on the merits of the case of the petitioner.

The Writ Petition is disposed of as above.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE.

MS